Localization and patterns of Cerebral dyschromatopsia: A study of subjects with prosopagnosia
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Objective: Cerebral dyschromatopsia is sometimes associated with acquired prosopagnosia. Given the variability in structural lesions that cause acquired prosopagnosia, this study aimed to investigate the structural correlates of prosopagnosia-associated dyschromatopsia, and to determine if such colour processing deficits could also accompany developmental prosopagnosia. In addition, we studied whether cerebral dyschromatopsia is typified by a consistent pattern of hue impairments.

Methods: We investigated hue discrimination in a cohort of 12 subjects with acquired prosopagnosia and 9 with developmental prosopagnosia, along with 42 matched controls, using the Farnsworth-Munsell 100-hue test.

Results: We found impaired hue discrimination in six subjects with acquired prosopagnosia, five with bilateral and one with a unilateral occipitotemporal lesion. Structural MRI analysis showed maximum overlap of lesions in the right and left lingual and fusiform gyri. Fourier analysis of their error scores showed tritanopic-like deficits and blue-green impairments, similar to tendencies displayed by the healthy controls. Three subjects also showed a novel fourth Fourier component, indicating additional peak deficits in purple and green-yellow regions. No subject with developmental prosopagnosia had impaired hue discrimination.

Conclusions: In our subjects with prosopagnosia, dyschromatopsia occurred in those with acquired lesions of the fusiform gyri, usually bilateral but sometimes unilateral. The dyschromatopsic deficit shows mainly an accentuation of normal tritanopic-like tendencies. These are sometimes accompanied by additional deficits, although these could represent artifacts of the testing procedure.

1. Introduction

Acquired prosopagnosia is the rare disorder of impaired face recognition. It can occur with a variety of lesions, right or bilateral, in occipitotemporal or anterior temporal cortex (Davies-Thompson et al., 2014). Prosopagnosic subjects can also have cerebral dyschromatopsia (Meadows, 1974), an impairment of colour perception whose hallmark is a deficit in discriminating hues. This has often been linked to bilateral damage to the lingual and posterior fusiform gyri, as demonstrated in a meta-analysis (Bouvier and Engel, 2006) and consistent with early fMRI studies of colour perception (Sakai et al., 1995). However, subsequent neuroimaging studies have shown, first, a posterior V4 locus and an anterior V8 locus near the collateral sulcus (Bartels and Zeki, 2000), and more recently, multiple patches with colour-related activity, including more anterior cortex and often in close proximity to face-sensitive regions (Beauchamp et al., 1999; Lafer-Sousa and Conway, 2013). The lesion data has also suggested that colour perception may involve a stream of cortical processing, rather than a single region (Bouvier and Engel, 2006). This raises the possibility that a variety of prosopagnosic lesions may be associated with impaired colour perception. Furthermore, although the association between dyschromatopsia and acquired prosopagnosia has been well documented (Zeki, 1990), there has been no systematic study of colour perception in developmental prosopagnosia.
whose anatomic correlate remains a subject of debate (Avidan et al., 2014; Song et al., 2015).

Unlike congenital dyschromatopsia due to inherited deficits in cone pigments, the colour processing deficits of cerebral dyschromatopsia are often said to be non-specific, affecting all hues. Nevertheless, some describe more pronounced deficits in certain regions of colour space (Adachi-Usami et al., 1995; Fine and Parker, 1996; Rizzo et al., 1993; Rondot et al., 1967). Almost all of these cases report only a subjective inspection of data; however, when deficits are widespread patterns may be detected more effectively by quantitative mathematical techniques (Victor, 1988). Surprisingly, this has been done in only one case of cerebral dyschromatopsia (Victor et al., 1989).

In this study we applied a widely used, sensitive test of hue discrimination, the Farnsworth-Munsell 100-hue test (Farnsworth, 1943), to a large cohort of subjects with acquired or developmental prosopagnosia. Our first goal was to determine whether any type of lesion causing prosopagnosia could be associated with dyschromatopsia or if colour impairments were limited to those with bilateral fusiform lesions. Our second goal was to determine if colour processing deficits occurred in at least some subjects with developmental prosopagnosia. Our third goal was to use Fourier analysis to determine if cerebral dyschromatopsia has relative selectivity for a particular region or axis of hue space.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

The Institutional Review Boards of the University of British Columbia and Vancouver Hospital approved the protocol and all subjects gave informed consent in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.1.1. Acquired Prosopagnosia

These were 12 subjects (6 female, mean age 42 years, range 22-60). All had a neuro-ophthalmologic history and examination, with best-corrected acuity of 20/60 or better, and Goldmann perimetry. All complained of impaired face recognition in daily life and were impaired on both a famous faces test and at least one of the Cambridge Face Memory Test or the faces component of the Warrington Recognition Memory Test, while performing normally on the word component of the latter (Table 1). A short history of the six who proved to have impaired hue discrimination follows. All six also had noted altered colour perception in their daily life, while none of the other subjects with either acquired or developmental prosopagnosia voiced any complaints about colour perception. Further details on the neuroimaging and neuropsychological testing of this cohort have been published elsewhere (Hills et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2014).

L-IOT2 has right fusiform atrophy and had a left fusiform resection for epilepsy at age 39. Afterwards he had aphasia that resolved into a residual word-finding problem. He thought that his car had been repainted a lighter blue when his wife picked him up from hospital. He confuses the jerseys of basketball teams with a similar hue, and has trouble judging skin tone.

B-ATOT1 had herpes encephalitis at age 14, leaving a small homonymous paracentral scotoma in the left upper field. She notes difficulty distinguishing blue from green.

B-IOT1 had bilateral sequential occipital infarcts from a vertebrodissection at age 40. He cannot recognize buildings. The world was reduced to black and white initially. After 2 months he began seeing hues again, though he still notes trouble distinguishing similar colours, such as blue versus green.

R-IOT4 had a right occipital infarct 6 months prior to study. He has topographagnosic symptoms. He noted altered colour perception but only for the first few months: though he knew what each colour was, they lacked ‘emotional impact’.

2.1.2. Developmental prosopagnosia

These were 9 subjects (7 female, mean age 43.3 years, range 31-61) recruited from www.faceblind.org. Diagnostic criteria were reported life-long difficulty in face recognition and objective confirmation of impaired face recognition (Dalrymple and Palermo, 2016), including a score at least 2 standard deviations below the control mean on the Cambridge Face Memory Test and a discordance between preserved word and impaired face memory on the Warrington Recognition Memory Test that was in the bottom 5th percentile. All had best corrected visual acuity of better than 20/60 and normal Goldmann perimetric results. To exclude autism spectrum disorders, all subjects scored less than 32 on the Autism Questionnaire (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001). All but one subject (DP033) in whom it was contraindicated had MRI with T1-weighted and FLAIR sequences to exclude structural lesions.

2.1.3. Control subjects

For each prosopagnosic subject we recruited two healthy subjects of the same gender and within 5 years of age, creating a cohort of 42 subjects (26 female, mean age 41.3 years, range 17-65). All performed the Cambridge Face Memory test to exclude the possibility of unsuspected developmental prosopagnosia. Exclusion criteria were a history of neurologic or psychiatric conditions, including autism spectrum disorders, or of eye disease, including congenital dyschromatopsia, optic neuritis, macular degeneration, and cataracts. We excluded one subject with subjective claims of poor face recognition and low scores on the Cambridge Face Memory test, two subjects with episodes of unconsciousness lasting more than 10 min, and one with memory loss after electrocution. Two subjects with congenital dyschromatopsia were tested for illustrative purposes, a 46 year-old man with tritanopia and a 46 year-old man with protanopia.

2.2. Imaging

We obtained high-resolution (1 mm³) T1-weighted three-dimensional structural images on a Philips Achieva 3.0 T MR scanner, with an 8-channel head coil. We created a lesion mask for the 12 subjects with acquired prosopagnosia, using tools from the Oxford Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain’s Software Library (FSL) (Woolrich et al., 2009). Images were preprocessed with lesion filling when necessary (Battaglini et al., 2012), and linear-registration (Jenkinson et al., 2002; Jenkinson and Smith, 2001) or non-linear registration to the MNI-152 stereotaxic space. Within the standard space, lesion masks of subjects with impaired hue discrimination were summed to give an overlap image.¹

¹ One caveat with this type of analysis is that it may provide a conservative estimate of the extent of brain damage, particularly with certain pathologies such as closed head trauma and infection.
2.3. Protocol

The Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue Test (Farnsworth, 1943) probes hue discrimination by having subjects arrange 85 isoluminant coloured caps in a gradient, with unlimited time. The test was performed in an otherwise dark room, with illumination from a MacBeth Easel Lamp fitted with a Standard Illuminant C filter. Caps are divided into four racks, each presented to the subject with the caps in the same predetermined random order. Each cap has a hidden number, and the absolute difference between this number and those of the two caps placed to its immediate left and right by the subject is the score for that cap. If ordering were done perfectly, each cap would have a score of 2: thus the cap error score is the cap score minus 2. Overall performance is the sum of all 85 cap error scores, the Total Error Score. As recommended (Kinnear, 1970), we analyzed the \( \sqrt{\text{Total Error Score}} \), because the Total Error Score skews towards higher values.

To be classified as abnormal, a score must exceed 1) the 95% prediction interval calculated for our control cohort, and 2) published age-specific 95th percentiles (Kinnear and Sahraie, 2002). Subjects were told to complete the FM-100 Hue test in any manner they wished. The four racks were completed in random order, sequentially.

2.4. Analysis

We examined for patterns of selective hue deficits with a Fourier analysis (Victor, 1988). First we converted the error score of each cap into a relative error score, which expresses error as a proportion of the error score that would result from chance performance. Because the caps are divided into four racks, the chance error score is not even but higher for caps near the end of each rack. If this correction were not made, spurious fourth Fourier components would result. The series of relative error scores was then subjected to a discrete Fourier transform (http://calculator.vhex.net/) to yield the coefficients of the sine and cosine terms of the Fourier series for each component, expressed as a complex number. The absolute value of this number represents the amplitude of the Fourier component, while the arctangent (sine term/cosine term) represents its phase in radians. The amplitude of the zero Fourier component is equal to the mean relative error score across all caps. Dividing the amplitude of each of the non-zero Fourier components by this term yields their harmonic indices. While we tabulated the amplitude, phase, and harmonic index for the first six Fourier components, as done elsewhere (Barton et al., 2004), statistical tables are available only for the harmonic indices of the first and second Fourier components (Victor, 1988), on which we focused our analysis. A significant first Fourier component indicates difficulty with a single region of the hue spectrum, while a significant second Fourier component would indicate difficulty along a specific axis of colour space, with increased errors in two regions opposite each other on the hue circle.

3. Results

No subject with developmental prosopagnosia had an
Fig. 1. Overlap analysis of lesions on MRI. An axial image of lesions from the six subjects with impaired hue discrimination, shown as a colour spectrum from red to yellow, with red indicating regions involved by the lesion in only two subjects, and yellow indicating regions where the lesions of all six subjects overlapped. Black line indicates collateral sulcus. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 2. Control analyses. Error scores are plotted for each of the 85 caps, the hues of which are also shown. A) Mean relative error score for the group of 44 controls, indicated by grey jagged line. Shown are the first Fourier component (red curve), second Fourier component (green curve) and the curve produced by the sum of the first six Fourier components (black curve) as fitted to these mean data. B) Grey curves are the second Fourier components for each of the 44 controls, with the green curve showing the average of these curves. The red curve shows the average of the first Fourier components. C) A tritanopic subject. Jagged grey line indicates his relative error scores, with first Fourier component (red curve), second Fourier component (green curve) and sum of first six Fourier components (black curve) displayed. D) A similar plot for a protanopic subject. In each graph the vertical dashed lines indicate the axes for tritan (blue lines), deutan (green lines) and protan (red line) errors. Solid red or green curves indicate Fourier components that were significant, bold curves those with the largest amplitude of the first six components, while dotted red or green curves indicate those that were not significant. E) Plot of expected random error (grey curve), with the fourth Fourier component of its analysis superimposed (black curve). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
abnormal $\sqrt{\text{Total Error Score}}$, but six with acquired prosopagnosia did (Table 1). All but one of these six had bilateral occipito-temporal lesions. R-IOT4 had a unilateral right occipitotemporal lesion, and his $\sqrt{\text{Total Error Score}}$ was the least of the six. The lesions of these patients overlapped maximally in the fusiform and, to a lesser extent, the lingual gyri, in the vicinity of the collateral sulcus (Fig. 1).

We examined the pattern of performance in our control subjects with two approaches. First we obtained the group mean relative error scores for each cap, and performed a Fourier analysis on these mean values. This showed no significant first Fourier component, but a borderline significant second Fourier component. To ensure against artifacts from averaging, our second approach performed a Fourier analysis on each control subject’s relative error scores, and then averaged the waveforms of the first and second Fourier components. Both approaches yielded similar components (Fig. 2), with the phase of the second Fourier component indicating errors approximating the tritan (blue-yellow) axis.

Age correlated with the amplitude of the zero ($r=0.45, F_{(1,41)}=10.3, p<0.004$), first ($r=0.33, F_{(1,41)}=4.96, p<0.033$), and second ($r=0.52, F_{(1,41)}=15.5, p<0.001$) Fourier components, and with the first ($r=0.45, F_{(1,41)}=10.8, p<0.003$), but not the second harmonic index ($r=0.10, F_{(1,41)}=38, p=.54$). Thus there is a general age-related decline in hue discrimination that is accentuated in the blue-green region, but not relatively increased along the tritan axis.

For illustrative purposes, we analyzed two healthy subjects with congenital dyschromatopsia. As expected these showed strong second Fourier components with phase relationships consistent with the axes of their deficits (Fig. 2).

Among our six acquired prosopagnosic subjects with elevated $\sqrt{\text{Total Error Scores}}$, four had a significant first Fourier component, in all of whom this tended to peak around cap 40, in the blue region (Table 2, Fig. 3). All six had significant second Fourier components, which in four had the largest amplitude of any of the first six Fourier components. The phase of this second Fourier component approximated the tritan axis in subjects B-IOT1, L-IOT2, B-IOT2, and R-IOT4, similar to our controls, but was advanced to a phase intermediate between protanopic and tritanopic axes in B-ATOT1, and in B-ATOT2 in whom the second Fourier component was only marginally significant.

A novel finding was a large fourth Fourier component in three subjects (Fig. 3). Although tables of significance for this component have not been provided (Victor, 1988), by approximation to those for the first two components these are almost certainly significant. This fourth component had a nearly identical phase in all three subjects and does not correspond to a mixture of protanopic/deuteranopic and tritanopic deficits.

While this unexpected finding might reflect some novel distortion of colour space, it is also important to consider whether it reflects an artifact of the testing procedure. Note that the division of the caps into four trays generates a non-uniform random error pattern that is reflected in a fourth Fourier component (Fig. 2), for which Victor devised a correction procedure that we used (Victor, 1988). However, this correction may be excessive in the case of non-random sorting, as with subjects with very good colour vision. Indeed, the mean control data show a fourth Fourier component in counterphase to that of the random error (Fig. 4), which is the anticipated result of over-correction.

If we examine the data of all our dyschromatopsic subjects, we find that the peaks for the three with large fourth Fourier components – R-IOT4, B-ATOT1, and B-IOT2 – have locations that approximate that of the control data, suggesting the possibility of an over-correction artifact (Fig. 4). However, the fourth Fourier components of R-IOT1, with the lowest error score, and of B-ATOT2,

---

### Table 2

Results of Fourier analysis for 6 subjects with impaired hue discrimination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>R-IOT4</th>
<th>B-IOT1</th>
<th>L-IOT2</th>
<th>B-ATOT1</th>
<th>B-IOT2</th>
<th>B-ATOT2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sqrt(TES)</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>19.18</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amplitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>harmonic index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.352&quot;</td>
<td>0.261&quot;</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.395&quot;</td>
<td>0.265&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>0.526&quot;</td>
<td>0.305&quot;</td>
<td>0.518&quot;</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.530&quot;</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>−0.58</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>−1.42</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
<td>−1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>−0.82</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>−0.00</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>−1.19</td>
<td>−1.26</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>−1.51</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>−0.75</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bold = component with the largest harmonic index.
* Underline = large fourth Fourier component.

sqrt (TES) = square root of Total Error Score.

_ p < 0.05.
** p < 0.01.
*** p < 0.001.
with the highest error score, align more in phase with that of the random error, which is more consistent with an under-correction artifact. In L-IOT2, the phase of the fourth Fourier component is offset from those of both the random error and the control data, indicating neither under- nor over-correction. Hence, while an artifact of the procedure to correct for non-uniform random error could explain the fourth Fourier components in R-IOT4, B-ATOT1, and B-IOT2, two points give us pause. First, there is no consistent relationship between the severity of dyschromatopsia and whether the fourth Fourier component fits with either under- or over-correction. Second, the phase of their fourth Fourier components are not precisely aligned with the control data, but offset to the right.

---

**Fig. 3. Fourier analysis for 6 subjects with impaired hue discrimination.** Jagged grey lines show relative error score plotted against cap number, the hues of which are also shown. Superimposed are the first Fourier component (red curve), the second Fourier component (green curve), and the sum of the first six Fourier components (black curve). For three subjects, the fourth Fourier component is also shown (orange curve). In each graph the vertical dashed lines indicate the axes for tritan (blue lines), deutan (green lines) and protan (red line) errors. Solid red or green curves indicate Fourier components that were significant, bold curves those with the largest amplitude of the first six components, while dotted red or green curves indicate those that were not significant. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. 4. Fourth Fourier component analysis.** The components of all six subjects with dyschromatopsia are shown. Solid coloured lines indicate those subjects with large fourth Fourier components, and dashed lines those with more modest components. For comparison, the thick black line indicates the fourth Fourier component from the analysis of the mean control data, multiplied by 10 to facilitate the comparison. The thick grey line shows that from the analysis of random error, divided by 100 for facilitated viewing. The fourth Fourier component of the control data is in counterphase to that of the random error, indicating a slight over-correction by the procedure of Victor (1988). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
4. Discussion

We found impaired hue discrimination in all five subjects with acquired prosopagnosia due to bilateral occipitotemporal lesions. Overlap lesion analysis confirmed that the area of commonality involved the fusiform and lingual gyri bilaterally. However, one subject with a right occipitotemporal lesion also had impaired hue discrimination, though with a Total Error Score that was less than that of all subjects with bilateral lesions. Hue discrimination was normal in six other subjects with bilateral anterior temporal, right anterior temporal, or right occipitotemporal lesions. Fourier analysis of the hue deficits confirmed a tritanopic-like pattern in most subjects with impairments, which approximated similar milder patterns in healthy controls. A novel finding was a large fourth Fourier component in three subjects, with nearly identical phase.

Functional imaging suggests that colour processing involves bilateral regions of occipitotemporal cortex ranging from the lingual gyrus to the collateral sulcus (Bartels and Zeki, 2000; Beauchamp et al., 1999). A meta-analysis of previous cases showed overlap in these areas, particularly on the right (Bouvier and Engel, 2006). However, meta-analyses have limitations, including potential selection or reporting bias, variable diagnostic criteria, variations in scanning protocols and input images, and challenges in image registration and alignment, among others. Our study has the advantage of a single cohort assessed with uniform protocols for colour perception testing and neuroimaging. Our results agree with that of the meta-analysis, indicating that dyschromatopsia localizes to a region surrounding the collateral sulcus bilaterally. Lesions limited to anterior temporal regions did not cause subtle impairments.

The consistent lack of hue deficits in developmental prosopagnosia indicates an important difference from acquired prosopagnosia. One simple explanation may be that both face and colour processing deficits are less severe in developmental prosopagnosia, so that they fail to reach criteria for dyschromatopsia. However, the evidence that the face processing deficit is less severe in our developmental subjects is mixed: while their scores on the face component of the Warrington Recognition Memory Test are lower (mean 27.1, s.d. 6.3 for the developmental form versus 36.0, s.d. 4.3 for the acquired form, p<0.003), the difference between scores on the Cambridge Face Memory Test was not significant (mean 32.3, s.d. 8.3 for the developmental form versus mean 36.0, s.d. 4.3 for the acquired form, p=0.241). A second explanation may relate to the anatomic correlations in the cohort with acquired prosopagnosia. Normal colour processing in the developmental cohort may suggest that in most cases of developmental prosopagnosia the underlying deficit is either more selective in its effects on the fusiform gyrus or located in more anterior regions of cortex.

While cerebral dyschromatopsia is generally thought to require bilateral lesions, with unilateral lesions leading instead to an often asymmetric hemi-chromaticopa (Paulson, Galetta, Grossman, and Alavi, 1994; Short and Graff-Radford, 2001), we found one subject with a right occipitotemporal lesion who had impaired hue discrimination, though less severe than that following bilateral lesions. This is not without precedent. As noted (Meadows, 1974), older surveys of patients with unilateral lesions find occasional cases with mild colour deficits (Assal et al., 1969; Lhermitte et al., 1969), particularly on the sensitive Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue Test and in subjects with right-sided lesions (Scotti and Spinnler, 1970). A more recent study found impaired hue discrimination in three of 27 subjects with unilateral cerebral lesions (Rutiger et al., 1998), while another found poor discrimination of red, green and blue caps in a subject with a lesion of the right lingual and fusiform gyri (Clarke et al., 1998). The reasons why a colour processing deficit usually found with bilateral damage can sometimes occur with a unilateral lesion are not clear, but one possibility is natural variability in functional lateralization. Thus an accentuation of the normally mild right predominance for colour processing noted above (Bouvier and Engel, 2006) may render some individuals prone to colour deficits after right-sided damage.

Reports, almost all of single cases, have been mixed concerning whether there is a selective hue impairment in cerebral dyschromatopsia. Many claim there is not. This is understandable for severe complete achromatopsia, when Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue error scores are so large (≈1200) that they are equivalent to random sorting (Heywood et al., 1996). Even with less elevated Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue error scores, though, it was claimed that there was no well-defined axis for patients BL (Kennard et al., 1995) or A32660 (Meadows, 1974), that EH had equal impairment in all 3 axes (Shuren et al., 1996), and that another’s deficits were ‘sans axes’ (Duvelleroy-Hommet et al., 1997). On the D-15 test, Mme D showed no definite confusion axis (Bartolomeo et al., 1997). MS, who seemed more accurate for red-purple hues, did not show significant Fourier components (Victor et al., 1989). Two other patients showed no particular axis on the Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue Test, but one had a tritanopic pattern on the D-15 and the Lanthony New Colour Test (Rizzo et al., 1993).

Among reports of selective deficits, PM showed a mild protanomaly on Hardy-Rand and Rittler test, but also found blues and greens difficult to distinguish (Crichtley, 1965). Likewise, another subject struggled with blue-green hues (Fine and Parker, 1996) and a third subject had more difficulty in the blue sector (Rondot et al., 1967). A fourth subject had strong blue-yellow versus medium red-green defects on Standard Pseudo-isochromatic plates (Adachi-Usami et al., 1995). On the other hand, CB had diffuse deficits that were worse for red and green-yellow (Heywood et al., 1987), and anomaloscope testing of another subject showed better recovery of blue-green than red-yellow discrimination (Jaeger et al., 1988). JPC had a large error score of 888 on the Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue Test but showed protanopic-like performance with the anomaloscope (D’Zmura et al., 1998). With one exception (Victor et al., 1989), all of the studies above characterized hue-sorted scores solely on the basis of inspection, and in only one or two cases. We advance upon this by studying a relatively large cohort with a quantitative mathematical analysis, which is particularly useful at detecting underlying patterns when errors are diffuse and scattered. Our results show a consistent tendency to tritanopic-like errors, sometimes augmented by a first-Fourier component showing increased errors in the blue-green range, validating some of the prior subjective observations (Adachi-Usami et al., 1995; Crichtley, 1965; Rizzo, et al., 1993; Rondot, et al., 1967).

We also noted similar tendencies in our controls. Numerous prior studies using the Farnsworth-Munsell 100-Hue Test have documented that both young and older healthy subjects have greater difficulty in the blue-green region or along the blue-yellow axis (Kinnear and Sahraie, 2002; Scotti and Spinnler, 1970; Smith et al., 1985). It has been asserted that this may be accentuated by age, but the evidence is inconsistent (Kinnear and Sahraie, 2002; Smith et al., 1985). Our correlation analysis supports a relatively greater increase in blue-green errors with aging, but not in the tritanopic-like second Fourier component. A normal tritanopic tendency has been attributed to retinal or lenticular factors, but studies have cast doubt upon this assertion (Smith et al., 1985).

Regardless, our results suggest that, when partial, cerebral dyschromatopsia is characterized primarily by an accentuation of the normal blue-green and tritanopic-like patterns evident in controls, rather than a distortion of colour space. In half of our dyschromatopsic subjects, though, the emergence of a large fourth Fourier component may indicate a new anomaly unrelated to traditional red-green and blue-yellow axes, with error peaks in
green-yellow and purple dimensions of hue space. However, the implications of this observation for cortical colour mechanisms are not clear, the result requires replication in other dyschromatopsic subjects, and it is possible that this secondary observation derives from an over-correction artifact. Nevertheless, these findings illustrate the value of applying formal mathematical analyses to complex behavioural results, to reveal patterns that are otherwise difficult to discern.
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